UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT LEAD FILED COURT		
	District of	NEBRASKA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		2007 APR 12 FH 3: 01
V.	ORI	DER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
LESHAWN COREY ROGERS	Case Nu	mber: 4:07CR3027
Defendant	260 a datantian bassin	a has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.		
	art I—Findings of F	
 (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described if or local offense that would have been a federal offen a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life. 	se if a circumstance giv 56(a)(4).	ring rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is
an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in		
Constitution of the desired of the d	had been convicted of	.* wo or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or loca		wo of more prior rederal offenses described in 18 0.3.c.
 (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed sind for the offense described in finding (1). 	while the defendant wa ce the date of con	s on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. viction release of the defendant from imprisonment
(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable parety of (an) other person(s) and the community.	further find that the def	
Alternative Findings (A)		
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the for which a maximum term of impring under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	the defendant has sonment of ten ye	committed an offense ears or 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established appearance of the defendant as required and the statement of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established as the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established as the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established as the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established as the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established as the defendant has required and the statement of the defendant has required as the d	safety of the community	
Alternative Findings (B) (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention		
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by		
derance of the evidence that Def s cross mation fac	telease	is denied without praindice
Def's record precludes	دواده دو	PTC will optain / artenge
psych eval + report to	the cou	et + counsel.
David III	Divertions December	na Datantian
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney of to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving so reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense	entences or being held counsel. On order of a	d representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the nt to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
4-12-07	11 James	1. Tiesta
Date Signalure of Judicial Officer		
		d L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).